UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,123		07/11/2003	Gordon B. Langford	3113.2.3 (50356-01040)	4093
34013 HOLME	759 ROBER	90 06/08/2007 TS & OWEN, LLP	•	EXAMINER	
299 SOU	JTH MAI			FERNSTROM, KURT	
	SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/618,123	LANGFORD, GORDON B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Fernstrom	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 10-13 and 16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/9/05.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings submitted on March 15, 2004 are informal, and are acceptable for examination. However, formal drawings will be required upon allowance.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 10-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Castillo. Castillo discloses in the drawings and specification a device comprising a first panel 16, a magnetically responsive display 18 which is mounted to the first panel and is operable to create an image via magnetically responsive material therein, and ahand operated instrument 20 removably mounted to the device. The sheets of paper within the binder are considered to be "image cards", in that they inherently have images thereon when used, and are hingedly attached to the first panel via hinge means. With respect to claim 11, the panel and image cards are rectangular, having a length, width and edge as recited. With respect to claim 12, Castillo discloses in paragraph [0053] that the hinge means in ne embodiment comprises a spiral binding as recited. With respect to claim 13, Figure 1 shows an offset distance from the edge of the panel to the magnetic display. With respect to claim 16, the back cover of the binder of Castillo reads on the second panel as recited.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 are allowed.

Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a device having all of the recited limitations. With respect to claim 1, Castillo fails to disclose a second hinge means as recited. With respect to

Art Unit: 3711

claim 14, there is no suggestion that the width of the image cards be equal to the offset distance, as best shown in Figure 18. Magnetic display panels comprising magnetically responsive material and a hand operated instrument are well known, as shown for example by Fosbenner, Olsen and Satoh, and devices comprising a plurality of interchangeable image cards and transparent cards having a hinge means are known, as shown for example by Burgio and Leubrie. However, there is no suggestion to combine the teachings of the two sets of references to create the claimed invention without using impermissible hindsight.

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fosbenner, Olsen, Satoh, Ma, Hirota, Yamazaki, Metheny, House and Wood disclose devices comprising magnetic display panels. Burgio, Leubrie and Frisque disclose drawing devices comprising hingedly attached image cards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,123

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KF June 6, 2007

> KURT FERNSTROM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5